Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : 514-PDP-65-96788R000300740001-8

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

ORCON

INSCOM GRILL FLAME

**PROGRAM** 

SESSION REPORT

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, DAMI-ISH 051630Z JUL 78

REVIEW ON: 20 June 2000

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

SECRET



#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

### REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION CD57

**ILLEGIB** 

- 1. (S) This report documents a remote viewing session conducted in compliance with a request for information on a target of interest the purpose of this session was to describe an area at a specified time designated by geographic coordinates.
- 2. (S) The protocol used for this session is detailed in the document, Grill Flame Protocol, AMSAA Applied Remote Viewing Protocol (S), undated.
- 3. (S) At TAB A is a summary of the data presented by the remote viewer during the session. A complete transcript of the session is available upon request. At TAB B are drawings made by the remote viewer reference his impressions of the target site. At TAB C is target cuing information provided the remote viewer.
- 4. (S) The summary at TAB A represents the salient points provided by the remote viewer and as such has not been subjected to any intermediate analysis, evaluation or collation. Interpretation and use of the information provided is the responsibility of the requestor.



$\sigma \Omega \Omega$	_	·	
DATE/TIME 0830	<u> </u>	19	Ja
SESSION CD 57 RVer 8 IVer 66			
ANALYST 1 TAPE 442 TARGET 8009			
PSI CONDUSIVE STATES:	Y	ES	NO
<ol> <li>Physical Relaxation:         <ul> <li>Was Rver able to physicall relax before and/or during "cool down" period?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			
b. Did Rver appear physically relaxed before and/or during the session?	Ί	$\dashv$	
c. When questioned, did Rver report a sense of physical relaxation in conjunction with the session?		1	
2. Degree of Arousal: <ul> <li>a. When questioned after the session did the RVer report he was able to achieve a state of "passive concentration" prior to and/or during the session?</li> </ul>			
b. Did RVer appear to be in a state of "passive concentration" prior to and/or during the session?			
3. Sensory Input: <ul> <li>a. Was there ambient room "noise" (to include all senses) before and/or during the session?</li> </ul>		1	
b. Was RVer disturbed by noise before and/or during the session?	_	+	X
c. Was RVer able to disregard the "noise" and concentrate on the task at hand?	1		
4. Increased Awareness of Internal Processes: a. Did RVer report internally perceived sensory data?		1	
b. Did Rver express his confidence in the internal sensory data he perceived?	-  -	1	
c. Did RVer have REM during session?		+	
5. Hemispheric Specialization: a. Did Rver exhibit a voice quality change?	+	1-	
b. Did RVer's verbage exhibit right hemispheric behavior? (Lack of sentence structure, gestalts, etc.)			
6. Altered View of World:	+	+	-
a. Did Rver believe the task at hand was possible for him?			
b. Was Rver confident that he could do what was asked of him?		1	

## SECRET

b. Did Rver display positive motivation concerning the task at hand?

7. Importance of Task:

a. Was Rver briefed on importance of mission?\_\_\_

The state of the s	Approved நஷ Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000300740001-8
•	19 Jun 1980 CECDET
TIME	19 Jun 1980 SECRET PAGE_OF_
030	START SESSION -
403	Ruez from NXS
to7	Open over
±12	Trailers that The vehicles pull
417	Cowe me about two minutes
A-18	love me about two minutes
And the second s	
-	
-	
disconnected the state of the second	
don't desire the second	
Name of Street	
	CCCDET
	SECRET WHEN FILLED IN

# **TAB**

### Approved For Release 2000/08/07 288F 000300740001-8

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS/ORCON

IAFM-OPS-HU-SA

20 June 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SG1A

SUBJECT:

SG1A

SG1A

SG1A

1. (S) The source was requested to seek out and report information pertinent to

is provided only with the actual target coordinates. The following information is provided in date order:

- a. (S) Information predicted for 22 June 1980: Source reported many vehicles, trucks, trailers approximately  $2\frac{1}{2}$  ton or larger in size would be located at the target area. He stated that many of the vehicles would be carrying tube type objects. No other information was reported for this
- b. (S) Information predicted for 23 June 1980: Source reported that there would probably be an effort to hide things at the target site by covering objects with either foilage that was not previously there or foilage type coverings. No other information was provided for this date.
- c. (S) Information predicted for 24 June 1980: Source reported that efforts to move or cover existing objects at the target site would be nearly completed at that time. He reported that much string and canvas would be seen then as well as the entire area being boardered by dark green foilage. When questioned if there were any trucks or vehicles expected to be at the target site on that date, he responded that he did not expect there to be any. He did state that there were very well camoflaged gun emplacements at each end of the cleared area, the type of gun he could not identify, but did state that they appeared to be so small as to look like toys.
- 2. (S) Request the above information be evaluated and comments be provided to the undersigned in writing no later than 26 June 1980, this will permit a full evaluation of the source of information for both accuracy and intelligence value. Information is consistent with previous information provided by a different source, reference report CD56, dated 19 June 1980.

Analyst

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG HQDA (DAMI-ISH)

Dtd; 051630Z JUL 78

REVIEW ON:


will. Millow ZPH W. MCMONEAGLE


CW2, USA

20 June 2000


SECRET

TAB





1200 hrs - 22 jun 80





TAB

## Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CA-FDP:00788R000300740001-8

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS/ORCON

TARGET CUING INFORMATION

SG1A

SG1A

(S) The facilitator was provided with the target coordinates; the specific date and time of interest; 1200 hours, 24 June, 22 June, and 21 June 1980. The facilitator was also instructed that the viewer should be told that the target occupies an area approximately 500 X 300 meters in size. The remote viewer was then requested to describe the target in as much detail as possible. NOTE: the facilitator was also aware prior to the session that the target of interest consisted of vehicles within the area of interest, but no further information was provided to the facilitator. During no period of the session did the facilitator mention vehicles prior to the remote viewer describing them as located at the target.

SECRET